



# Central Statistical Agency

## Quarterly Manufacturing Industry Business Survey, Second Quarter 2000 E.F.Y



*Addis Ababa*

*March 2007*

## *Table of Contents*

	<u><i>Page</i></u>
List of Tables -----	ii
I. Introduction -----	1
II. Objectives of the Survey -----	2
III. Survey Methodology -----	3 - 4
1. Scope and Coverage -----	3
2. Sampling Frame -----	3
3. Sample Design -----	3 - 4
IV. Training of Field Staff and Data Collection -----	4
V. Concepts and Definitions -----	4 - 5
VI. Data Processing -----	6
• Editing, Coding and Verification -----	6
• Data Entry, Cleaning and Tabulation -----	6
VII. Summary of Survey Findings -----	7 - 16
Appendix -----	17 - 19

## *List of Tables*

	<u>Page</u>
Table 1: Number of Persons Engaged by Major Industrial Groups, Second Quarter 2000 E.F.Y (2006/07) -----	7
Table 2: Number of Reporting Establishments by Reason for Change in the Next Quarter's Number of Persons Engaged, Second Quarter 2000 E.F.Y (2006/07) -----	8
Table 3: Revenue from Sales by Major Industrial Groups, Second Quarter 2000 E.F.Y (2006/07) -----	9
Table 4: Number of Establishment by Reason for Change in Next Quarter's Revenue from Sales, Second Quarter 2000 E.F.Y (2006/07) -----	10
Table 5: Distribution of Reporting Establishments by Reason for Using Imported Raw Materials, Second Quarter 2000 E.F.Y (2006/07) -----	12
Table 6: Value of New Capital Expenditure on Fixed Assets of the Existing Establishments by Type of Fixed Asset and Major Industrial Group, Second Quarter 2000 E.F.Y (2006/07) -----	14
Table 7: Distribution of Establishments by Percentage of Capacity Utilization, Second Quarter 2000 E.F.Y (2006/07) -----	15
Table 8: Number of Establishments by Reason for not Working at Full Capacity, Second Quarter 2000 E.F.Y (2005/06) -----	16

## ***I. Introduction***

Business Surveys are carried out to obtain information for use in monitoring the current business situation and forecasting short-term developments. Information from these surveys has proved of particular value in forecasting turning points in the business cycle. The range of information covered by business tendency surveys goes beyond variables that can easily be captured in conventional quantitative statistics. Qualitative information may be collected for variables that are difficult or impossible to measure by conventional methods. Examples include: capacity utilization, production bottlenecks, plans and expectations for the immediate future and managers' views on overall economic situation.

Hence the Central Statistics Agency (CSA) as the responsible body for statistical information on almost all socio-economic aspects in the country has a significant role to play in meeting the need for short term statistics, mainly current business survey, which is considered as bridging the gap between information demanded by users and information, held by respondents. *A current business survey can be defined as a business cycle analysis of interrelated developments. This kind of survey tries to capture judgments on past, current and future economic developments.*

Thus to meet the demand of short term statistics the CSA has for the fourteenth time, conducted quarterly Manufacturing Industry Business survey on the Large and Medium Scale Manufacturing Industries.

This Short Term Statistics (Manufacturing Industry Business Survey) tries to answer the following type of questions:-

- In which phase of the economic cycle the manufacturing industry is at present?
- What will be the probable development in the future?
- Is the manufacturing industry in the continuation of a movement already started (upward or downward) or is it at a turning or reversal point?

Short term statistics are also used to produce monthly or quarterly indicators, and provide statistical information that is necessary to improve the competitiveness and performance of the business community in the country.

## **II. Objectives of the Survey**

The main objectives of the quarterly medium and large scale manufacturing business survey are to:

- compile and produce up-to-date, reliable and comparable information on the activity, competitiveness and performance of the industry,
- assist in economic analysis and forecast the future trend of the sector,
- be used in compiling the various components of quarterly national accounts, which in turn are needed in the calculation of GDP, and
- show the cyclical movement of the sector in terms of major indicators.

Therefore conducting current business survey on dynamic economic sectors like that of the manufacturing sector is an accepted way of availing basic business information to depict the general trend on interrelated developments of the economy. Moreover, it could be a base to examine the nature of the sequence of evolution and future expectations in order to ensure that adequate decisions can be taken today.

### ***Structure of this report***

Section II deals with the objectives of the survey. Section III provides an overview of the survey methodology. Section IV presents the background on training of field staff for data collection. Section V discusses concepts and definitions applied in the survey. Section VI describes the steps covered in data entry, editing, cleaning and tabulation of the results. Section VII explores the findings of the survey. Finally Annex I, which describes the estimation procedure and Annex II Coefficient of Variation (CV) for selected variables, are attached at the end of this report.

Dear reader, as we are striving to improve our work and try to satisfy the needs of our users, we would like to hear from you. If you have any comments or suggestions to make, please feel free to do so. Our address is:-

Central Statistics Agency

Industry, Trade and Service Statistics Department

P.O. Box 1143, Email: [csa@ethionet.et](mailto:csa@ethionet.et), Fax; 011 1550334, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

### **III. SURVEY METHODOLOGY**

#### **3.1 Scope and Coverage**

The Quarterly Large and Medium Scale Manufacturing Business Sample Survey was conducted by CSA, covering only those establishments, which engaged 10 persons and above and are using power driven machines to produce their goods. Both public and private holding industries of all regions were covered by this quarterly sample survey.

#### **3.2 Sampling Frame**

The list of basic values of each and every establishment was obtained from the 2002/3 Large and Medium Scale Manufacturing Industries Census and was used as a frame in order to conduct this quarterly Large and Medium Scale Manufacturing Business Sample Survey.

#### **3.3 Sample Design**

A single stage stratified sample design has been implemented in order to select sample establishments. Each establishment was first grouped into a four-digit level International Standard Industrial Classification (ISIC). Each four-digit ISIC was then considered to be a stratum. However, in doing so, the total number of the four-digit level ISICs was found to be too many and the contribution of some of the ISICs to the total basic value was also very low. Hence, a cut-off strategy was adopted for the ISICs that have a contribution of less than 0.6 percent to the overall basic value. Therefore, a total of 33 out of 47 ISICs were finally taken into consideration. Fifteen domain of estimates (reporting levels) are then constructed from the 33 ISICs and major findings of the survey are reported for them. Taking into account resource constraints and the production structure of the manufacturing sector, 130 sample establishments were initially decided to be sufficient to conduct the survey. The spread of basic values across the four-digit ISICs as observed from the frame was, however, uneven. Therefore, a power allocation (with a power of  $\frac{1}{2}$ ), have been employed to distribute the 130 sample establishments among the 33 ISICs since it increases the precision of small strata by slightly decreasing the precision of large strata.

A combination of systematic sampling and probability proportional to size (PPS) selection, size being basic value obtained from the frame, was used in order to select sample establishments from each of the 33 ISIC.

As regards to the ultimate coverage, the survey was not carried out in 11 establishments out of the sampled 130 establishments; 6 establishments due to non-response and 5 establishments due to closure after the end of the third quarter. As a result, the survey succeeded to cover 119 (91.5 percent) establishments through out the entire regions.

Estimation procedure of totals, ratios, sampling error and the measurement of precision of estimates (CV) are given in Appendix I and II respectively.

#### ***IV. Training of Field Staff and Data Collection***

The training was conducted in one phase using staff members of the Industry Statistics Team (professionals and statistical technicians) and experienced branch statistical office staff in establishment surveys. Enumerator's manual was prepared for the survey to introduce the participants with the detailed explanations of the basic concepts and how to handle each and every part of the questionnaire.

Since the coverage of the sample is based on industrial groups rather than area coverage, only 15 out of the 25 branch offices of CSA have participated in this survey. 35 field staff participated in the training, of which 15 were assigned for Addis Ababa, while the remaining were drawn from other branch statistical offices. The refreshment training took two days and another ten working days were needed for data collection.

#### ***V. Concepts and Definitions***

***Manufacturing:*** - is defined here according to International Standard Industrial Classification (ISIC Rev. 3) as “the physical or chemical transformation of materials or components into new products, whether the work is performed by power-driven machines or by hand, whether it is done in a factory or the worker's home, and whether the products are

sold at wholesale or retail. The assembly of the component parts of manufactured products is also considered as manufacturing activities.”

**An Establishment:** - is defined as the whole of the premises under the same ownership or management at a particular address. (E.g. a bakery, sawmill, etc.)

**Permanent Workers:** - these are employees, (based on the agreement between the workers and employers) engaged to work in the factory for unlimited period of time. These workers are usually found regularly on the payroll of the establishment. Basically, this classification consists of production, administrative and technical employees. According to this definition, unpaid family workers, active partners and working proprietors are excluded.

**Seasonal and Temporary Workers:** - these include workers who are employed for a whole or part of the year with the agreement that they work for a limited period of time. These workers are not regularly on the payroll of the establishment.

**Revenue from Sales:** - represents the total sales value of all products and by-products during the reference period valued at market price.

**Raw Materials:** - include all raw and auxiliary materials, parts and containers which are consumed during the reference period. The value of local raw materials is the value of locally produced raw materials and is the cost at the factory, which includes the purchase price, transport charges, taxes and other incidental costs. The value of imported raw materials is the value of raw materials produced in other countries and obtained directly or from local source and is the cost at the factory which includes the purchase price, transport charges, taxes and other incidental costs.

**New Capital Expenditure:** - is the cost of new or used capital equipment bought during the reference period by the existing establishments.

**Survey Period:** Based on the Ethiopian Fiscal Year, Quarters are defined as follows:-

- **First Quarter** – July 8 – October 10
- **Second Quarter** – October 11 – January 8
- **Third Quarter** – January 9 – April 8
- **Fourth Quarter** - April 9 – July 7



## ***VI. Data Processing***

### ***Editing, Coding and Verification***

A number of quality control steps were taken to ensure the data quality. Instruction manual on editing were given to personnel involved in the editing process. Briefings on the subject along with the editing manual were put to use, to edit and code the data collected. Finally, the edited and coded questionnaires were checked and verified by another group of professionals.

### ***Data Entry, Cleaning and Tabulation***

The data were entered and verified on personal computers using CSPro software. Four CSA data entry staff participated in this purpose for one day, with close supervision of one professional programmer. Then, the data entered were cleaned using a personal computer in combination with manual editing for some serious errors. Finally, the tabulation of the results was processed using the same software by one senior programmer from the Data Processing Department with technical assistance from the staff of Industry Statistics Team.

## VII. Summary of Survey Findings

### Employment

Survey results shown in Table 1 below indicate that, in the second quarter of 2000 E.F.Y., a total of 91,897 persons were engaged in the manufacturing industry, of which 76,849 (83.6 percent) of the workers were permanent, while the remaining 15,048 (16.4 percent) were seasonal or temporary employees. Among the industrial groups, manufacture of food products were found to be the major employers like in the previous quarters, where they employed around 22.6 percent of the total work force in the sector followed by textile industries which constitute around 13.9 percent. On the other hand, tobacco manufacturing establishments were at the bottom in terms of offering employment opportunities as they offered a job opportunity only for 790 persons, which accounts near to 0.8 percent of the total employment in the manufacturing industry.

**Table 1: Number of Persons Engaged by Major Industrial Groups, Second Quarter 2000 E.F.Y (2006/07)**

Major Industrial Groups	Persons engaged			
	Number of establishments	Permanent	Seasonal	Total
Manufacture of food products.....	169	17,047	3,715	20,762
Manufacture of beverage.....	31	9,237	796	10,033
Manufacture of tobacco products...	1	785	5	790
Manufacture of textiles .....	15	10,642	2,118	12,760
Manufacture of wearing apparel, except fur apparel.....	104	4,432	909	5,341
Tanning and dressing of leather, manufacture of footwear, luggage and hand bags.....	69	5,676	1,686	7,362
Manufacture of wood and wood products and cork, except furniture.....	11	2,861	1,664	4,525
Manufacture of paper & paper products.	29	5,522	696	6,218
Manufacture of chemicals and chemical products.....	37	3,560	911	4,471
Manufacture of rubber products.....	40	3,150	217	3,367
Manufacture of other non-metallic products.....	59	7,835	1,490	9,325
Manufacture of basic iron and steel.....	9	1,023	36	1,059
Manufacture of fabricated metal products except machinery and equipment.....	98	1,711	360	2,071
Manufacture of motor vehicles, trailers and semi-trailers.....	4	858	339	1,194
Manufacture of furniture.....	234	2,513	106	2,619
<b>Total Manufacturing</b>	<b>910</b>	<b>76,849</b>	<b>15,048</b>	<b>91,897</b>

Compared with the previous year's same quarter, total employment has shown an increase by 1,437 persons (1.6 percent) and manufacture of food products continued to be the leading industries in terms of offering the largest employment in the sector. In this year's second quarter the share of seasonal workers has shown an increase as compared to last year's same period.

As a follow-up, respondents were also asked regarding their expectations on the number of employees in the next quarter. As presented in Table 2 below, 71 establishments responded that they expect a change (upward or downward) in the number of the work force due to different reasons. Out of these establishments, 16 establishments (22.5 percent) forecasted a decrease in the number of workers due to lack of demand for their products, while 15 establishments (21.1 percent) expected an increase in the number of workers in the next quarter as a result a growing demand for their products. In addition 37 establishments (52.1 percent) also expected a change in their total employment due to other unspecified reasons.

**Table 2: Number of Reporting Establishments by Reason for Change  
In the Next Quarter's Number of Persons Engaged,  
Second Quarter 2000 E.F.Y (2006/07)**

<b>Reasons for change (from the previous quarter)</b>	<b>Number of establishments</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
High /increasing demand for the products...	15	21.13
Decreasing/low demand for products .....	16	22.54
Shortage of working capital.....	-	-
Shortage of raw materials.....	3	4.23
Others.....	37	52.11
<b>Total</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>100.00</b>

In the quarter under review the ratio of the establishments which expected an increase in their employment in the coming quarter have declined as compared to the same period in 1999 E.F.Y. and on the other hand shortage of working capital has not been reported by the establishments as a reason for the decline in the next quarter's employment status in both quarter.

**Revenue Generation and Prospects**

A total of 4 billion birr was earned as revenue by the manufacturing industry during the second quarter of 2000 E.F.Y, of which 95.3 percent was generated from local sales, while the remaining 4.7 percent was generated from exports. Manufacture of other non-metallic product establishments constitute the largest share in terms of total revenue generation during the quarter as they generated 21.1 percent of the total revenue of the sector, where as wearing apparel industries were at the bottom with their revenue amounting to only 0.4 percent of the total. Similar to previous quarters, most of the establishments supplied their products to local markets, except tanning and leather industries which generated 62 percent of their revenue from export, as shown in Table 3 below. This trend indicates still the export performance of Ethiopian manufacturing industries is very low and it is also an issue of very few manufacturing industries.

**Table 3: Revenue from Sales by Major Industrial Groups,  
Second Quarter 2000 E.F.Y (2006/07)**

in 000' Birr

Major Industrial Groups	Revenue from sales					
	Local	%	Export	%	Total	%
Manufacture of food products.....	805,866	99.36	5192	.64	811,058	100.00
Manufacture of beverage.....	731,018	99.60	2903	.40	733,921	100.00
Manufacture of tobacco products...	118,650	100.00	-	-	118,650	100.00
Manufacture of textiles.....	164,952	90.22	17871	9.78	182,823	100.00
Manufacture of wearing apparel, except fur apparel.....	15,205	100.00	-	-	15,205	100.00
Tanning and dressing of leather, manufacture of footwear, luggage and hand bags.....	98,655	38.01	160,886	61.99	259,541	100.00
Manufacture of wood and of products and cork except furniture .....	21,962	100.00	-	-	21,962	100.00
Manufacture of paper & paper products..	154,040	100.00	-	-	154,040	100.00
Manufacture of chemicals and chemical products.....	281,293	100.00	-	-	281,293	100.00
Manufacture of rubber products.....	177,950	97.40	4751	2.60	182,701	100.00
Manufacture of other non-metallic products.....	853,466	100.00	-	-	853,466	100.00
Manufacture of basic iron and steel.....	108,115	100.00	-	-	108,115	100.00
Manufacture of fabricated metal products except machinery and equipment.....	205,307	100.00	-	-	205,307	100.00
Manufacture of motor vehicles, trailers and semi-trailers.....	81,365	100.00	-	-	81,365	100.00
Manufacture of furniture.....	39,554	100.00	-	-	39,554	100.00
<b>Total Manufacturing</b>	<b>3,857,398</b>	<b>95.27</b>	<b>191,603</b>	<b>4.73</b>	<b>4,049,001</b>	<b>100.00</b>

Compared with the previous year's same quarter, total revenue generated in this quarter increased by 13.9 percent. Significant increase in the total revenue was observed in the manufacture of other non-metallic products (cement and cement products). On the same note, the total revenue in manufacture of beverage has shown an increase as compared to last year's same period.

The manufacturing establishments were also asked about the likely direction of their sales revenue in the next quarter. Among the establishments that responded to this question, 203 of them (40.1 percent) expect a future decrease in their total revenue due to a low demand for their products as shown in Table 4 below. On the other hand, around 166 establishments (32.8 percent) expect a future increase in their total revenue for a growing demand for their products both locally and internationally and high cost of inputs has been reported as a reason for change in revenue. However, inability to compete with either local products or imported items has not been mentioned as a reason by any of the establishments for a decline in the revenue to be generated in the next quarter.

**Table 4: Number of Establishment by Reason for Change  
in Next Quarter's Revenue from Sales,  
Second quarter 2000 E.F.Y (2006/07)**

<b>Reasons for Change (from the previous quarter)</b>	Number of establishments	Percentage
Increasing demand for products	166	32.81
Locally.....	157	31.03
Internationally.....	9	1.78
Decreasing demand for products	203	40.12
Locally.....	203	40.12
Internationally.....	-	-
Cost of inputs.....	122	24.11
Unable to compete with:	-	-
Local manufactures .....	-	-
Imported items.....	-	-
Others.....	15	2.96
<b>Total</b>	<b>506</b>	<b>100.00</b>

Unlike in the previous year's same quarter, a majority of the establishments reported that they expect a decrease in their revenue generation in the next quarter due to low demand for their products. In addition, competition from the imported items was not mentioned as a reason in both quarters.

## **Raw Materials**

The majority of the Ethiopian manufacturing establishments are known for their high dependence on imported raw materials in their production activities and this calls one to ask the reason for such huge dependence. Among the total respondents, 308 establishments, which make up 57.5 percent, reported that unavailability of raw materials locally is the major reason for relying on imported raw materials as shown in Table 5 below. Unreliable quality of local raw material was reported as a major reason by 162 establishments (30.2 percent), where as lack of sufficient local supply was mentioned by 59 establishments (11 percent) for relying on imported raw material.

Compared to previous year's same period, the number of establishments, which reported 'not available locally' as a major reason for not using locally produced raw materials have shown a decline in this quarter whereas the number of establishments which reported unreliable quality of locally produced raw materials have increased in the quarter under study.

In general, the results indicate that the raw material demand by local manufacturing industries couldn't be satisfied from domestic sources due to various reasons mentioned above and this calls for the government and other stakeholders to look into the issue in order to reduce the outflow of the scarce foreign currency and to minimize the impact on domestically produced raw materials.

*Quarterly Manufacturing Industry Business Survey*

**Table 5: Distribution of Reporting Establishments by Reason for Using Imported Raw Materials, Second Quarter 2000 E.F.Y (2006/07)**

Major Industrial Groups	Lack of Sufficient Supply locally		Not available locally		Local supply Is not reliable		Quality of locally available raw material is not reliable		Others reasons		Total	
	No	%	No	%	No	%	No	%	No	%	No	%
Manufacture of food products.....	8	6.11	122	93.13	-	-	1	.76	-	-	131	100.00
Manufacture of beverage.....	6	42.86	8	57.14	-	-	-	-	-	-	14	100.00
Manufacture of tobacco products.....	-	-	1	100.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	100.00
Manufacture of textiles.....	1	7.69	12	92.31	-	-	-	-	-	-	13	100.00
Manufacture of wearing apparel, except fur apparel.....	2	1.92	2	1.92	7	6.73	93	89.42	-	-	104	100.00
Tanning and dressing of leather, manufacture of footwear, luggage and hand bags.....	9	25.00	27	75.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	36	100.00
Manufacture of Wood and of wood products and cork, except furniture..	-	-	11	100.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	11	100.00
Manufacture of paper & paper products.....	6	40.00	9	60.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	100.00
Manufacture of chemicals and chemical products.....	4	17.39	19	82.61	-	-	-	-	-	-	23	100.00
Manufacture of rubber products....	10	20.83	38	79.17	-	-	-	-	-	-	48	100.00
Manufacture of other non metallic Products.....	-	-	10	100.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	100.00
Manufacture of basic iron and steel	5	62.50	3	37.50	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	100.00
Manufacture of fabricated metal products except machinery and equipment.....	-	-	25	29.76	-	-	59	70.24	-	-	84	100.00
Manufacture of motor vehicles, trailers and semi-trailers.....	-	-	4	100.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	100.00
Manufacture of furniture.....	8	23.53	17	50.00	-	-	9	26.47	-	-	34	100.00
<b>Total Manufacturing</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>11.01</b>	<b>308</b>	<b>57.46</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1.31</b>	<b>162</b>	<b>30.22</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>536</b>	<b>100.00</b>

### ***New Capital Expenditure***

New capital expenditure by the existing establishments in the quarter amounted to birr 378.5 million. Of this amount, the share of manufacture of other chemical and chemical products and manufacture of beverage products was birr 167 million (44.1 percent) and 66 million (17.4 percent), respectively, (Refer to Table 6 below). The reporting establishments have been investing their capital for acquisition of various fixed assets, such that, around birr 257.7 million (68.1 percent) of the total new capital expenditure was spent on acquiring new machinery and equipment while birr 43.5 million (11.5 percent) of the total capital expenditure was spent on building in the quarter under review.

Total new capital expenditure in the sector has increased by more than birr 212.5 million birr (128 percent) as compared to the same period last year. Out of the total new capital expenditure most of the expenditure went to machinery and equipment in both periods. The probable reason why new capital expenditure on machinery and equipment takes the lion's share from the total in both quarters is that, production of goods is closely linked to machineries which in turn makes them to depreciate quickly, and this entails a continuous demand for machineries and equipment.



**Table 6: Value of New Capital Expenditure on Fixed Assets of the Existing Establishments  
by Type of Fixed Asset and Major Industrial  
Group, Second Quarter 2000 E.F.Y (2006/07)**

<b>Major Industrial Groups</b>	Building	Machinery & equipment	Vehicles	Others	Total
Manufacture of food products.....	11,960,181	13,166,805	659,594	2,800,587	28,587,167
Manufacture of beverage.....	10,770,653	48,980,945	3,440,357	2,856,491	66,048,446
Manufacture of tobacco products.....	90,810	9,762,090	795,423	149,095	10,797,418
Manufacture of textiles .....	-	-	27,563,879	1,390,515	28,954,394
Manufacture of wearing apparel, except fur apparel.....	-	-	-	4,860	4,860
Tanning and dressing of leather, manufacture of footwear, luggage and hand bags.....	1,772,493	894,546	289,729	75,788	3,032,556
Manufacture of wood and of wood products and Cork, except furniture.....	-	94,049	-	29,561	123,610
Manufacture of paper & paper products... ..	120,000	1,442,723	309,000	128,599	2,000,322
Manufacture of chemicals and chemical products.....	929	135,269,850	323,658	31,432,943	167,027,380
Manufacture of rubber products .....	135,501,113	31,635,361	115,246	247,074	45,547,794
Manufacture of other non-metallic products.....	3,675,664	15,166,227	1,537,611	951,098	21,330,600
Manufacture of basic iron and steel .....	35,700	23,638	-	79,841	139,179
Manufacture of fabricated metal products except machinery and equipment.....	-	168,266	55,345	52,848	276,459
Manufacture of motor vehicles, trailers and semi-trailers.....	-	1,091,311	-	175,488	1,266,799
Manufacture of furniture.....	1,522,000	11,774-	1,863,816	10,711	3,408,301
<b>Total Manufacturing</b>	<b>43,498,543</b>	<b>257,707,585</b>	<b>36,953,658</b>	<b>40,385,499</b>	<b>378,545,285</b>

### **Capacity Utilization**

In almost all short-term business surveys, capacity utilization is an important variable in studying the efficiency and performance of manufacturing industries overtime. For this reason, two questions were forwarded to the respondents during the survey: the first was regarding the existing level of capacity utilization by the establishments in the different industrial groups,

whereas the second question was about the reasons for operating under their full capacity. As shown in Table 7 below, during the quarter only 58.3 percent of the total capacity is being utilized by the manufacturing establishments, while around 41.7 percent of the total capacity remains unexploited. A relatively high degree of capacity utilization was observed in manufacture of paper and paper products (78.6 percent) while a low level of capacity utilization was observed in manufacture of other non-metallic products (40.8 percent).

**Table 7: Distribution of Establishments by Percentage of Capacity Utilization, Second Quarter 2000 E.F.Y (2006/07)**

Major Industrial Groups	Number of establishments				
	≤ 25 %	26-50%	51-75%	76-100%	Average
Manufacture of food products.....	8	60	56	42	62.46
Manufacture of beverage.....	-	15	2	14	62.43
Manufacture of tobacco products...	-	-	1	-	71.00
Manufacture of textiles .....	-	9	3	3	50.16
Manufacture of wearing apparel, except fur apparel.....	-	93	7	3	51.56
Tanning and dressing of leather, manufacture of footwear, luggage and hand bags.....	-	17	48	4	57.66
Manufacture of wood and wood products and cork, except furniture.....	-	-	10	1	63.44
Manufacture of paper & paper products.	-	5	8	16	78.62
Manufacture of chemicals and chemical products.....	3	-	7	15	71.43
Manufacture of rubber products.....	-	3	33	12	63.96
Manufacture of other non-metallic products.....	25	14	13	6	40.76
Manufacture of basic iron and steel.....	-	2	3	4	73.76
Manufacture of fabricated metal products except machinery and equipment.....	1	59	-	24	59.74
Manufacture of motor vehicles, trailers and semi-trailers.....	1	-	1	2	57.46
Manufacture of furniture.....	8	16	9	2	46.11
<b>Total Manufacturing</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>293</b>	<b>201</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>58.33</b>

As shown in Table 7, among the total manufacturing establishments included in this survey, 6.7 percent of them were operating below 25 percent of their capacity while around 21.5 percent of the establishments have been operating above 75 percent of their full capacities. Majority of the establishments (42.6 percent) have been utilizing between 26 and 50 percent of their full capacity, whereas 29.2 percent of them were operating between 51 and 75 percent capacity utilization category. In general, the survey results indicate the low level of capacity utilization in Ethiopian manufacturing industry.

The average level of capacity utilization in this quarter has increased as compared to the previous year's same quarter, which was about 7 percent. However the number of establishments which operated between 26 and 75 percent of their full capacities has shown a decrease in this quarter while higher capacity utilization above 75 percent was observed in this quarter.

The low level of capacity utilization in the sector would compel one to ask "what was behind this weak level of capacity utilization?" The responses from the establishments which are presented in Table 8 show that 293 of the establishments (around 57.8 percent), reported lack of demand for their products as a major cause for not operating at their full capacity. On the other hand, 74 establishments (i.e., 14.6 percent) reported shortage of raw material as major reason for not operating at their full capacity. Problems related with electricity and water and repeated breakages of machinery were also reported by the establishment's (6.3 percent) and (5.3 percent) respectively for not operating at full capacity. None of the establishments reported problems with shortage foreign exchange, problem with workers, lack of skilled manpower and government rules and regulations as obstacles to produce below full capacity.

**Table 8: Number of Establishments by Reason for not working at Full Capacity, Second Quarter 2000 E.F.Y (2006/07)**

Reasons for not working at full capacity	Number of Establishments by age of Establishments (years)				Total number of Establishments	Percentage
	< 3yrs	3 -5 yrs	6 - 8 yrs	8 + yrs		
Shortage of raw materials.....	-	-	-	74	74	14.60
Shortage of spare parts.....	1	-	-	19	20	3.94
Shortage of foreign exchange.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lack of demand/market.....	-	-	92	201	293	57.79
Shortage of working capital.....	-	-	10	16	26	5.13
Problem with electricity and water .....	-	-	-	32	32	6.31
Repeated breakage of machinery.....	-	4	-	23	27	5.33
Problem with workers.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lack of skilled manpower.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
Government rules and regulations.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other reasons.....	-	-	12	23	35	6.9
<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>388</b>	<b>507</b>	<b>100.00</b>

The number of establishments which reported 'lack of market demand' as a reason has decline in this quarter as compared to previous year's same period whereas those which reported 'repeated breakage of machinery' has shown a risen in this quarter.

**APPENDIX**

**Estimation procedures of total, ratio and sampling errors**

To estimate the required variables by reporting levels (domains), the following formulas were used.

**1. Estimate of domain total  $\hat{Y}_h$  is given by:**

$$\hat{Y}_h = \sum_{i=1}^{n_h} W_{hi} y_{hi} \text{ -----} \quad (1)$$

Where,

$$W_{hi} = \frac{M_h}{n_h M_{hi}} \text{ is the basic sampling weight}$$

$M_h =$  Sum of basic values of establishments in stratum h obtained from the sampling frame.

$M_{hi} =$  Basic value of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  establishment in stratum h obtained from the sampling frame.

$n_h =$  Number of successfully covered sample establishments in stratum h.

$y_{hi} =$  The observed value of a characteristic y for manufacturing industry i in stratum h.

Note:

- Estimate of total manufacturing characteristic,  $\hat{Y}$ , is obtained by summing up stratum/domain total estimates.

$$\hat{Y} = \sum_{h=1} \hat{Y}_h \text{ -----} \quad (2)$$

- During the time of sample selection establishments having a basic value higher than the sampling interval were selected with certainty (with a probability of 1). Hence, the basic sampling weight of those establishments was taken to be 1.

### 3. Sampling variance of the estimates:

Sampling variance of estimate of stratum total are given by the following formulas:

The variance of domain or reporting total estimate is:

$$V(\hat{Y}_h) = \frac{n_h}{n_h - 1} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n_h} \left( \hat{Y}_{hi} - \frac{\hat{Y}_h}{n_h} \right)^2 \right] \text{-----} \quad (3)$$

Where,

$$\hat{Y}_{hi} = W_{hi} y_{hi}$$

Other notations are as defined above.

$$V(\hat{Y}) = \sum_h V(\hat{Y}_h) \text{-----} \quad (4)$$

$$SE(\hat{Y}_h) = \sqrt{Var(\hat{Y}_h)} \text{-----} \quad (5)$$

### 4. Coefficient of variation and confidence interval

The following formulas were used to calculate coefficient of variation and confidence interval of the domain (reporting level) total.

The coefficient of variation (CV) of domain total in percentage is:

$$CV(\hat{Y}_h) = \frac{SE(\hat{Y}_h)}{\hat{Y}_h} \times 100 \text{-----} \quad (6)$$

and

Ninety five percent confidence interval (CI) of domain total is:

$$\hat{Y}_h \pm 1.96 \times SE(\hat{Y}_h) \text{-----} \quad (7)$$

**5. Ratio estimates:**

$$\hat{R}_h = \frac{\hat{Y}_h}{\hat{X}_h} \text{ and } \hat{R} = \frac{\hat{Y}}{\hat{X}} \text{ ----- (8)}$$

Where the numerator and the denominator are estimates of domain totals of characteristic y and x, respectively.

$$Var(\hat{R}_h) = \frac{1}{\hat{X}_h^2} [Var(\hat{Y}_h) + \hat{R}_h^2 Var(\hat{X}_h) - 2\hat{R}_h Cov(\hat{Y}_h, \hat{X}_h)]$$

In which

$$Cov(\hat{Y}_h, \hat{X}_h) = \frac{n_h}{n_h - 1} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n_h} \left( \hat{Y}_{hi} - \frac{\hat{Y}_h}{n_h} \right) \left( \hat{X}_{hi} - \frac{\hat{X}_h}{n_h} \right) \right]$$

Where,

$$\hat{X}_{hi} = W_{hi} X_{hi}$$

Other notations are as defined above.

Estimates of standard error, coefficient of variation and confidence interval for the ratio estimate can be calculated by adopting formulas 5, 6 and 7.